



Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits

News, Analysis and Commentary On Affordable Housing, Community Development and Renewable Energy Tax Credits

June 2014 • Volume V • Issue VI

Published by Novogradac & Company LLP

Stakeholders Say Scarce Renewable Energy Tax Equity Spurs Innovative Financing

TERESA GARCIA, STAFF WRITER

NOVOGRADAC & COMPANY LLP

Expiration of the renewable energy production tax credit (PTC) at the end of 2013 and the anticipated step-down of the investment tax credit (ITC) from 30 percent to 10 percent after 2016 have set the stage for interesting changes in the renewable energy market, even while the fight to secure the future of these government incentives in discussions of potential tax reform remains in the national spotlight. Tax credit proponents have long argued that the renewable energy market has tremendous potential for continued growth, but that legislative unpredictability makes it difficult for developers and investors to plan ahead. "The biggest enemy to tax credits is uncertainty, it's the number one enemy," said Robert Cudd of Polsinelli.

However, renewable energy stakeholders argue that the industry's considerable challenges have also opened the door to great opportunities. Market participants are working on innovative ways to bring potential investors off the sidelines and to make financing renewable energy projects as cost-efficient as possible.

Debt and Tax Credit Equity

Lenders report an "abundance" of debt available. Andrew Redinger of KeyBanc Capital Markets said KeyBank provides senior debt to a variety of wind and solar projects that range from distributed generation to utility scale. Chris Diaz of Seminole Financial Services said that Seminole transitioned from financing 100 percent real

estate to 90 percent renewable within the past few years, resulting in half a billion dollars in renewable energy debt financing since 2009.

Tax credit equity is a different story. "Lending has never been the issue," said Redinger. "There's more debt chasing projects than there has ever been; the issue is [tax credit] equity." Dick Rai of PNC Energy Capital agreed. "It's always been a constrained market and not one where tax equity has been freely available," said Rai.

Market participants estimate that while there are about 20 to 25 currently active renewable energy tax credit investors, only about 12 to 15 do multiple deals a year. Actively engaged investors include U.S. Bank, PNC, Bank of America, Wells Fargo and JPMorgan Chase. For smaller projects, the investor pool is even more limited. Experts estimate that only about four or five investors regularly invest in smaller transactions. "There are some new entrants, but largely in the \$50 million plus range," said Rai, adding that PNC is one of the few investors committed to projects below that range.

Corporate investors in renewable energy include Google and Honda, but for the most part, the renewable energy tax credit equity market is still dominated by large banks and insurance companies. Marc Schultz of Snell & Wilmer said some banks that provided debt financing to renewable energy projects under the Section 1603 grant are now considering becoming renewable energy tax

continued on page 2

credit equity investors. "These folks aren't necessarily the usual suspects for tax credit investing," said Schultz, differentiating them from investors who are already experienced in low-income housing tax credits (LIHTCs), new markets tax credits (NMTCs) and historic rehabilitation tax credits (HTCs). "It's a good sign that there's interest out there in the marketplace, but it takes some education to get [investors] comfortable with different tax equity structures."

Yuri Horwitz of SolSystems added that there are ample opportunities and reasons for new investors to get off the sidelines. "This is a compelling industry and we're providing investors the opportunity to participate in our growth," he said. Stakeholders reported that the ITC fetches about \$1.30 per credit, with lows around \$1.20 and highs up to \$1.35. The internal rate of return (IRR) is typically 8 to 12 percent, or sometimes as high as 14 percent.

Yield Cos

Faced with the constrained availability of tax credit equity, industry participants are exploring other financing options. The yield co is one tool that has received considerable attention. Yield co investors buy shares of a portfolio of projects already in operation. Part of the cash flow is then paid out to the shareholders as dividends, while the rest can be used to finance future assets. NRG Yield, TransAlta Renewables, Pattern Energy Group and SunEdison were among the first to list their own portfolios of energy assets.

"Yield cos are an elegant solution to the lack of tax equity since they combine renewable resource projects generating depreciation and ITC with conventional generation projects producing cash flow but no tax benefits," said Cudd. "In effect, the renewable energy projects shelter the income from the conventional generating projects so that no 'tax equity' is required since it is internally generated by the portfolio."

Eli Katz of Chadbourne & Parke LLP agreed. He said, "The development of these public funding vehicles might change the industry, a sort of one-stop shop."

Diaz cautions that not every project is a fit for the yield co, and that investors will want to see "the best of the best" credit-worthy transactions in the pool of deals.

"The downside is as yield cos become more popular, there will be fewer transactions that meet the tight credit requirements," said Diaz. "If some people are not as sensitive to credit, this could dilute the quality of transactions in the yield cos, and if that were to happen, it would hurt the overall market."

Project Types and Deal Structures

The solar photovoltaic (PV) industry continues to skyrocket. Experts attribute this growth to a variety of factors, including dependable technology and reduced system costs. "This is a compelling industry with a technology that is incredibly stable," said Horwitz. "The technological and tax risk in these investments is extremely low."

Distributed solar generation in particular is thriving, especially for school districts and small municipalities. "The migration from stationary utility scale power to distributed power is a major theme in energy markets right now," said Katz.

Rai called solar technology a "natural" fit for distributed generation because it is one of the few technologies that can be installed on-site. "Its growth is inevitable," said Rai. "I imagine the majority of transactions done, not necessarily in dollars, but in units, are in distributed generation."

In terms of project size, James D. Howard Jr. of Dudley Ventures said that there is a "tremendous amount of appetite" for bigger projects and "potential" for projects between \$10 million and \$20 million. He said smaller projects, however, remain a challenge to finance because they typically are not as cost-efficient for many investors.

Deal structures vary. Cudd added that collateralized loan obligations (CLOs) remain attractive for owners and sponsors of smaller projects because they can pool loans in an efficient structure. Others said the master tenant structure is becoming an increasingly attractive option, in part, because of the HTC safe harbor guidance the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) issued in Revenue Procedure 2014-12. Although the guidance is specific to single-tier or master tenant structures in HTC investments, Howard said it is still relevant to renewable energy and that it clarifies acceptable partnership structures.

continued from page 2

Looking Ahead

Stakeholders said that, in addition to reauthorization of the PTC and extension of the 30 percent ITC, a handful of other legislative changes could support renewable energy growth by broadening the investor base. Cudd said that passing the proposed Master Limited Partnerships Parity Act (S. 795) would give renewables the same ability as the oil and gas industries to raise equity in public markets through master limited partnerships (MLPs). "It would open up a whole new arena of investors, namely the public," said Cudd.

The next step would be to pass legislation that would adjust passive activity loss rules and the at-risk rules that prevent the tax credits and depreciation deductions from being beneficial to individual investors. Though passing

any legislative challenges is difficult, Schultz said the expanded investor pool would have an immediate effect on the industry, especially for smaller projects.

As legislative and regulatory changes are discussed in Washington, industry participants across the country continue to find more effective and efficient uses of financing resources currently available, while exploring new areas of potential growth. "The continued interest of more and more banks, insurance companies and corporations to both invest in and utilize the cost savings in their operations from renewable sources gives me a lot of optimism in the marketplace," said Howard. "It seems like [renewable energy] has been around for a while, but we're really at the beginning of all of this." ❖

This article first appeared in the June 2014 issue of the Novogradac Journal of Tax Credits.

© Novogradac & Company LLP 2014 - All Rights Reserved

Notice pursuant to IRS regulations: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this article is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code; nor is any such advice intended to be used to support the promotion or marketing of a transaction. Any advice expressed in this article is limited to the federal tax issues addressed in it. Additional issues may exist outside the limited scope of any advice provided – any such advice does not consider or provide a conclusion with respect to any additional issues. Taxpayers contemplating undertaking a transaction should seek advice based on their particular circumstances.

This editorial material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed otherwise. Advice and interpretation regarding property compliance or any other material covered in this article can only be obtained from your tax advisor. For further information visit www.novoco.com.

EDITORIAL BOARD

PUBLISHER

Michael J. Novogradac, CPA

EDITORIAL DIRECTOR

Alex Ruiz

TECHNICAL EDITORS

Robert S. Thesman, CPA

James R. Kroger, CPA

Owen P. Gray, CPA

Thomas Boccia, CPA

Daniel J. Smith, CPA

COPY

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Jennifer Dockery

STAFF WRITERS

Teresa Garcia

Mark O'Meara

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT

Elizabeth Orfin

CONTRIBUTING WRITERS

Peter Lawrence

Brian Carnahan

Jon Welty

Lawrence R. Kulig

Tomas Staggs

Matt Reilein

Forrest Milder

Annette Stevenson

Cyle Reissig

Frank Buss

John M. Tess

Forrest Milder

ART

CARTOGRAPHER

David R. Grubman

PRODUCTION

Alexandra Louie

Jesse Barredo

James Matuszak

CONTACT

CORRESPONDENCE AND EDITORIAL SUBMISSIONS

Alex Ruiz

alex.ruiz@novoco.com

415.356.8088

ADVERTISING INQUIRIES

Emil Bagalso

emil.bagalso@novoco.com

415.356.8037

EDITORIAL MATERIAL IN THIS PUBLICATION IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED OTHERWISE.

ADVICE AND INTERPRETATION REGARDING THE LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDIT OR ANY OTHER MATERIAL COVERED IN THIS PUBLICATION CAN ONLY BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR TAX ADVISOR.

ADVISORY BOARD

LOW-INCOME HOUSING TAX CREDITS

Bud Clarke

BOSTON FINANCIAL INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT

Jana Cohen Barbe

DENTONS

Tom Dixon

BOSTON CAPITAL

Rick Edson

HOUSING CAPITAL ADVISORS INC.

Richard Gerwitz

CITI COMMUNITY CAPITAL

Rochelle Lento

DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC

John Lisella

U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

Philip Melton

CENTERLINE CAPITAL GROUP

Thomas Morton

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

Mary Tingerthal

MINNESOTA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY

Rob Wasserman

U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

PROPERTY COMPLIANCE

Michael Kotin

KAY KAY REALTY

Michael Snowdon

HIGHRIDGE COSTA HOUSING PARTNERS

Gianna Solari

SOLARI ENTERPRISES INC.

Kimberly Taylor

HOUSING DEVELOPMENT CENTER

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Flynann Janisse

RAINBOW HOUSING

Ray Landry

DAVIS-PENN MORTGAGE CO.

Denise Muha

NATIONAL LEASED HOUSING ASSOCIATION

Monica Sussman

NIXON PEABODY LLP

NEW MARKETS TAX CREDITS

Frank Altman

COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND

Merrill Hoopengardner

ADVANTAGE CAPITAL

Scott Lindquist

DENTONS

Matthew Philpott

U.S. BANCORP COMMUNITY DEV. CORP.

Matthew Reilein

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA

Ruth Sparrow

FUTURES UNLIMITED LAW PC

Elaine DiPietro

ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY INVESTMENT INC.

HISTORIC TAX CREDITS

Jason Korb

CAPSTONE COMMUNITIES

John Leith-Tetrault

NATIONAL TRUST COMM. INVESTMENT CORP.

Bill MacRostie

MACROSTIE HISTORIC ADVISORS LLC

John Tess

HERITAGE CONSULTING GROUP

RENEWABLE ENERGY TAX CREDITS

Bill Bush

BORREGO SOLAR

Ben Cook

SOLARCITY CORPORATION

Jim Howard

DUDLEY VENTURES

Forrest Milder

NIXON PEABODY LLP

© Novogradac & Company LLP

2014 All rights reserved.

ISSN 2152-646X

Reproduction of this publication in whole or in part in any form without written permission from the publisher is prohibited by law.